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Natural to ask, when does $\left.\rho_{f}\right|_{G_{p}}$ split?
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where $f=f_{k}$.

Theorem
For $p=3$, every member of a non-CM family* $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ is non-split if
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- condition (C2)
are satisfied.
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Let $p=3$. Let $f \in S_{2}(N)$ correspond to an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let

$$
\bar{\rho}:=\bar{\rho}_{f}: G_{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)
$$

be the corresponding residual representation. The Galois action on the $p$-torsion points on $E$ also gives $\bar{\rho}$.

Assume that

- $p \nmid N$,
- $\bar{\rho}$ is surjective. This implies that $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ is a non-CM family.
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Let $W$ be the space $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ with the conjugation action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via $\bar{\rho}$. Let $W_{0}$ be the submodule of trace 0 matrices in $W$.

For a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module $V$, let
$V^{\text {Ad }}:=$ sum of all J-H factors isomorphic to $W_{0}$.
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We give an alternative argument to deal with such cases.
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This uses PARI/GP!
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Facts:

- $\widetilde{E}^{\mathrm{Ad}} \simeq W_{0}$
- $\widetilde{U}_{p}^{\text {Ad }} \simeq 5 W_{0}$
- $\widetilde{U}_{p, 0}^{\mathrm{Ad}} \simeq W_{0}$

To check that (C2) holds, we find $e \in E$ satisfying

- I( $\mathfrak{P} \mid p)$ fixes $e$, for some $\mathfrak{P} \mid p$, and
- $e \in 1+\mathfrak{P}^{n}$, for $n \leqslant 2$


## Elliptic curves satisfying conditions (C1) and (C2)

| $A_{f}$ | $\Delta_{A_{f}}$ | $(a, b)$ for $A_{f}$ | $\left\|\mathrm{Cl}_{H}\right\|$ | $e$ | $e$ lies in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $89 . a 1$ | -89 | $(-1323,28134)$ | 2 | $e_{4}^{-2} e_{5}^{2} e_{6}^{-2} e_{7} e_{8}^{2} e_{9}^{-2}$ | $1+\mathfrak{P}_{2}$ |
| $155 . a 1$ | $-5^{5} \cdot 31$ | $(12528,443664)$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $e_{2}^{4} e_{3}^{4} e_{4}^{4} e_{5}^{6} e_{7}^{-4} e_{8}^{4} e_{9}^{2}$ | $1+\mathfrak{P}_{1}$ |
| $155 . b 1$ | $-5^{2} \cdot 31$ | $(-1323,-65178)$ |  |  |  |
| $158 . b 1$ | $2^{2} \cdot 79$ | $(-4563,111726)$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $-e_{1}^{2} e_{2} e_{3} e_{5} e_{6}^{-1}$ | $1+\mathfrak{P}_{8}^{2}$ |
| $158 . c 1$ | $2^{20} \cdot 79$ | $(-544347,153226998)$ |  |  |  |
| $158 . e 2$ | $2 \cdot 79^{2}$ | $(-11691,416934)$ |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |
| $994 . b 2$ | $2^{2} \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 71$ | $(-1509219,-324105570)$ | $2 \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 13^{3}$ | $-e_{5} e_{6} e_{7}^{-2} e_{8}^{2} e_{9}^{-2} e_{10}^{-2}$ | $1+\mathfrak{P}_{1}$ |
| $994 . e 2$ | $2 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ | $(-27243,-711450)$ |  |  |  |
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## Exception: Example 118

In this example,

- $C l_{H}=2$ implies that (C1) holds, while
- Condition (C2) fails!
- Alternative condition $\left(C 2^{\prime}\right)$ : This involves showing that a particular totally ramified $\mathbb{Z} / 3$-extension $K_{3}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$ is distinct from the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z} / 3$-extension over $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$.

Checking the alternative condition includes explicitly computing the norm subgroup corresponding to $K_{3} / \mathbb{Q}_{3}$. This uses PARI-GP extensively.

## Thank You.

